



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/437,352      | 11/09/1999  | DIMITRI KANEVSKY     | YO999-411           | 7851             |

7590 03/26/2004  
KEVIN M MASON  
RYAN MASON & LEWIS LLP  
1300 POST ROAD  
SUITE 205  
FAIRFIELD, CT 06430

EXAMINER

STULBERGER, CAS P

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|
|----------|--------------|

2132

DATE MAILED: 03/26/2004

8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/437,352

Applicant(s)

KANEVSKY ET AL.

Examiner

Cas Stulberger

Art Unit

2132

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 December 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-58 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-58 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 09 November 1999 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5.
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Art Unit: 2132

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to communications: application, filed 11/09/1999; amendment filed 12/22/2003.
2. Claims 1-58 are pending in the case. Claims 1, 16, 27, 38, 39, 42, 45, 49, 52, and 56 are independent claims.

### ***Response to Arguments***

3. Applicant argues that neither Li nor Fischer disclose or suggest the identification of a user by evaluating the location of information as described in the limitations of the independent claims.
4. Applicant's arguments, see Reconsideration, filed 12/22/2003, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) independent claims 1, 16, 27, 38, 39, 42, 45, 49, 52, and 55 under 35 U.S.C 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,757,916 to MacDoran et al.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2132

6. Claims 1-11, 13, 15-21, 24, 26-32, 35, 37-39, 40-47, 49, and 50-57 above are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,219,793 B1 to Li et al. and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,757,916 to MacDoran et al.

7. In regards to claims 1, 5, 15-16, 26, 27, 37-39, 40-47, 49, and 50-57, Li discloses a system and a method for employing a user's fingerprint to authenticate a wireless communication. When a wireless communication is to be initiated, the central authentication system engages in a challenge-response authentication with the wireless phone using the stored fingerprint associated with the mobile identification number (MIN) (Li: Abstract). Li also discloses that biometric data other than fingerprints can be used such as a user's voice (Li: column 17, lines 29-35).

However Li does not disclose a challenge response method that uses the location.

MacDoran discloses that the state vector attributes distilled from the state vector observations supplied to the host authentication server define the location of the client, and that location is compared to the particular predefined location information for that client stored in the database. If the host authentication server produces a remote client location that matches the previously registered client location within a predetermined threshold, access is granted to the remote client user (MacDoran: column 24, lines 12-15).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the method of using biometric data to authenticate wireless communications as disclosed by Li with the method of providing the location of the client and compared it to the stored location value and granting access to the user if the location is within a

Art Unit: 2132

predetermined threshold as disclosed by MacDoran in order to make “spoofing” the host device very difficult (MacDoran: column 1, lines 15-16).

8. In regards to claim 2, Li discloses requesting a personal identification number (PIN) each time a call is made. This meets the limitation of a “password.”

9. In regards to claims 3, 4, 10, 21, 32, Li does not disclose a pocket token or computer readable card.

MacDoran discloses using access tokens (MacDoran: column 1, lines 40, 49). This meets the limitation of “wherein said response is a computer readable card” or “pocket token.”

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the wireless cellular phone as disclosed by Li with the method of using access tokens as disclosed by MacDoran in order to determine whether a person or device attempting to access or perform a transaction with a host computer system is a person or device entitled to access, most host computer systems require the person or device to provide information confirming identity (MacDoran: column 1, lines 21-25).

10. In regards to claims 6-9, 11, 13, 17-20, 24, 28-31, and 35, Li does not however disclose using a global positioning system.

MacDoran discloses using a Global Position System (GPS) sensor to determine the location of the singnature provided by the remote client. This meets the limitation of “wherein said global positioning system includes a local verification system.”

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the wireless cellular phone as disclosed by Li with the global positioning system as disclosed by MacDoran in order to determine the location of an object or person with great precision and accuracy (MacDoran: column 5, lines 41-43).

11. Claims 12, 14, 22, 23, 25, 33, 34, 36, 48, and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,219,793 B1 to Li et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,757,916 to MacDoran et al applied to claims 1-11, 13, 15-21, 24, 26-32, 35, 37-39, 40-47, 49, and 50-57 above, and further in view of "Wireless Enhanced 9-1-1 Service - Making it a Reality," Bell Labs Technical Journal (Autumn 1996) by Meyer et al.

In regards to claims 12, 14, 23, 25, 34, 36, 48, and 58, Li does not disclose using 911 techniques or querying the user about something at the location of a requested device or facility.

Meyer however discloses asking the user of the cell phone "Do you have any more details on your location?" (Meyer: page 189, right column, lines 1-2).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the wireless cellular phone as disclosed by Li with the method of querying the user as to where they are because the existing E9-1-1 service was originally designed to support wireline calls from fixed locations (Meyer: page 188, right column, second paragraph).

12. In regards to claims 22 and 33 Li does not however disclose using triangulation.

Art Unit: 2132

Meyer however discloses that triangulation methods can be used (Meyer: page 198, left column).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the wireless cellular phone as disclosed by Li with the method of using triangulation as disclosed by Meyer because it can be implemented at a relatively low additional cost (Meyer: page 196, right column, third paragraph, last line).

### *Conclusion*


13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cas Stulberger whose telephone number is (703) 305-8034. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:00A.M. - 5:00P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on (703) 305-1830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CS

CS



GILBERTO BARRON  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100